UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,368	02/26/2004	Norihisa Iga	Q80108	3409
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 07/07/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	LE, CANH		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/786,368	IGA, NORIHISA	
Fuguelines	A 4 1 ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CANH LE	2439	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i uter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit are alternative and the approximate the sufficient reasons.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> M The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
Please, See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other:			
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439			

The Applicant argues the following:

- (a) Abe does not teach or suggest either the authentication of the personal computer 2 by the portable telephone 1, nor the use of software by the personal computer 2, involving the transfer of a condition of use of content the portable telephone to the personal computer 2.
- (b) "Abe does not teach or suggest the personal computer 2 receiving a condition of use of content from the portable telephone 1."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant for the following reasons: Per (a):

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the authentication of the personal computer 2 by the portable telephone 1, nor the use of software by the personal computer 2, involving the transfer of a condition of use of content the portable telephone to the personal computer 2") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Per (b):

Abe positively teaches receiving condition of the use of content from a mobile external device [Satoshi: par. [0023]; fig. 3, par. [0037], fig. 5; "software usage license in the portable telephone or the upgrading action of the software is accompanied by a maintenance contract, the upgrading license or the software itself are downloaded through the portable telephone"; par. [0027], fig. 7; "software usage license in the memory of the portable telephone is present and the software is present in the memory or hard disk of the personal computer 2, it becomes usable (step S73)"; See also par. [0028-0029]; "software usage license" is known as "condition of the use content"].

The Examiner recommends that the Applicant amended the claims to distinguish over prior arts for further execution.